

Asian Journal of Information Science and Technology (AJIST)

Vol1.No.2 2013 pp 10-14.
available at: <a href="www.goniv.com">www.goniv.com</a>
Paper Received: 15-08-2013
Paper Published: 18-12-2013
Paper Reviewed by: 1. John Arhter 2. Hendry Goyal
Editor: Prof. P.Muthukumar

# A Survey on Preserving Privacy towards Location Proof

E. Gokulakannan Research Scholar Department of Computer Science and Engineering Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar -608 002.

Dr.K.Venkatachalapathy
Professor
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar -608 002

#### **ABSTRACT**

Location proof of a particular person relies on his/her mobile device position. One of the valuable features of the location proofs tells about accessing the location based services (LBS) by using mobile device. Location privacy is mandatory for every user to keep their location confidential. Every user needs to maintain the privacy level according to their spatial and temporal region. In this paper, we have presented a survey about the various techniques that are well suited to preserve location privacy and location proofs.

Index Terms— anonymity, location proof, location privacy, localization techniques.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Mobile Networks are insecure due to its broadcasting nature. A mobile network doesn't have a clear line of protection. So mobile nodes can join the network and leave the network at any time and at any location [1]. The location based services is based on the user location which can be provided by the mobile devices. Loopt and Google latitude are applications used

update the user's current location proof. Location-based services provide information about nearest entities (i.e. Nearby ATM, Restaurants, airports, etc.,) and offer location aware services. Geolocation data is gathered in a number of ways, including built-in Global Positioning System

devices, IP address, or Wi-Fi network mapping. Location proof plays a vital role in location sensitive applications. Location sensitive applications such as [5][10] Location based access control, Location aware routing, etc., are used in location proofs. They are also helpful in providing a history of location proofs and identifying a geographical location of users. Location proof is a piece of data that certifies a receiver to a geographical location [10]. In the location proof updating system, location information can be



Asian Journal of Information Science and Technology (AJIST)

Vol1.No.2 2013 pp 10-15.
available at: <a href="www.goniv.com">www.goniv.com</a>
Paper Received: 15-08-2013
Paper Published: 18-12-2013
Paper Reviewed by: 1. John Arhter 2. Hendry Goyal
Editor: Prof. P.Muthukumar

eavesdropped by adversaries. It may cause vulnerability towards location privacy of the user. Public key Cryptographic operation is used for encryption and decryption of communicating messages and prevents from eavesdropping. The Process of hiding the identity of nodes is an approach to obtain identity privacy; the identity of the node is hidden by using pseudonym. To obtain the location privacy mobile nodes are expected to satisfy some or all of the basic properties given below: [11]

**Location privacy:** It is defined as an ability to prevent the unauthorized entities to access the location data of current and past locations.

**Identity privacy:** Mobile node is not able to find the identity of the user, based on the location information received during the location proof request .The real identity of the user should not be traced by the malicious node known as untraceability.

**Unlinkability:** No unauthorized entity should be able to relate different sessions of the mobile node.

Depending on the scope, nature, and behavior of attacks, the attackers can be classified as follows: [2]

Passive attackers participate in eavesdropping messages in communication.

- Active attackers will not forward the received packet to its destination by dropping or it may generate packet containing immoral information.
- Inside attacker are the authentic members of the network, sometimes it acts as the adversary.
- Outside attackers are the intruders.
- Malicious attackers are not getting

any benefit personally by their attack. Their aim is to harm other members of the network or disrupt the functionality of a MANET.

 Local attacker attacks up to the limited radio range. An attack can be extended, where an attacker organized as a group across the network

#### II CHALLENGES IN MOBILE NETWORKS

#### A. Insecure Boundaries

There is no clear secure boundary in the mobile ad hoc network, when compared with the defense available in the traditional wired network. This vulnerability originates because of its nature that gives the freedom to join, leave and move inside the network.

## B. Restricted Power Supply

Due to the mobility of nodes in the ad hoc network, it is common that the nodes in the mobile ad hoc network will rely on battery as their power supply method. The restricted power supply may lead to denial-of-service attacks. Moreover, a node in the mobile ad hoc network may behave in a selfish manner when it finds that there is only limited power supply, and the selfishness can cause some problems when there is a need for this node to assist with other nodes to support some functions in the network.

# C. Scalability

As the nodes are mobile, the scale of the Mobile ad hoc network keeps changing all the time. It makes it tough to predict how many nodes will be in the network in the future. As a result, the protocols and services that are applied to the mobile network should be compatible to the continuously changing



scale of the ad hoc network.

# III DIFFERENT TECHNIQUES ON PRIVACY PRESERVING TECHNIQUE TOWARDS LOCATION PROOF

# **A.** Location Privacy in Pervasive Computing

Location privacy is a particular type of information privacy that we define as the aptitude to prevent other parties from learning one's current or past location [4]. With pervasive computing, though, the scale of the problem changes entirely. Most likely you do not care if someone finds out where you were yesterday at a particular time, but if this someone could look over the history of all your past movements, recorded every second with sub meter precision, everyone might start to see things differently. Then they focus on the privacy aspect of using location information in pervasive computing applications. They do not essentially need to stop all access because some applications can use this information to provide useful services. But, we want to be in control and to keep our position secret but wanting social group to be able to locate us with privacy. So they build Privacy-protecting framework based on frequently changing pseudonyms. So users avoid being identified by the locations they visit [7]. In that they introduce the concept of mix zones and showing how to plot the problem of location privacy onto that of anonymous communication. Pseudonym is used to destroy the link between location information and user identity. Untraceability, by itself, may not be enough in pseudonym based approach. The provision unlinkability is related to an aspect of privacy also referred as path privacy. Adversary has no coverage in silent mix zone [8]. Multiple pseudonyms for unlinkability prevent from correlation attacks.

Asian Journal of Information Science and Technology (AJIST)

Vol1.No.2 2013 pp 10-15.
available at: <a href="www.goniv.com">www.goniv.com</a>
Paper Received: 15-08-2013
Paper Published: 18-12-2013
Paper Reviewed by: 1. John Arhter 2. Hendry Goyal
Editor: Prof. P.Muthukumar

#### Demerits:

- ☐ Global eavesdropper can monitor the network by traffic analysis techniques.
- ☐ Designed with increasing complexity of user registration and
  - computational storage and communication cost.
- ☐ To obtain path privacy a user might have to update a pseudonym at points where the spatial and temporal resolution is decreased e.g., inside a mix zone.

# B. Wi-Fi Access Points Issuing Location Proof

Location proof of mobile node contains five fields: proof issuer, proof recipient, timestamp, geographical location, digital signature. In this case proof issuer is Wi-Fi access point. Wi-Fi access points (AP) advertise its presence by broadcasting beacon signals to its surrounding area [5]. If the recipient needs the location proof then it extracts the beacon's sequence number and uses it for asking the location proof. The demand for a location proof contains the client's public key and the signed AP's sequence number. The client signs the sequence number to guard their reliability and to make it hard for others to masquerade as client devices. Then AP checks whether the signature is legitimate and whether the sequence number is current one. If the request is valid, the AP creates a location proof with a current timestamp designates to the client. If the request is invalid then AP drops the request mutely. Another sensible consideration is making sure that APs are configured with the correct location coordinates. While it is cheap to provision APs with GPS to routinely determine their geo-location, most APs are situated in indoor environments where GPS does not work fine. One way to overcome this complexity is to provide the AP with an



Asian Journal of Information Science and Technology (AJIST)

Vol1.No.2 2013 pp 10-15.
available at: www.goniv.com
Paper Received :15-08-2013
Paper Published:18-12-2013
Paper Reviewed by: 1.John Arhter 2. Hendry Goyal
Editor : Prof. P.Muthukumar

additional configuration interface for administrators. To point a location proofenable AP, the administrator initially takes the AP outdoors and runs a setup program that uses GPS to establish the AP's location.

### Demerts:

- ☐ Proof issuer will not know whether the recipient received location proof or not.
- ☐ Denial-of-service attack is performed by the recipient, so the computational resources may be degraded to AP or issuer.
- ☐ Access point may be relocated then it must be reconfigured to the new longitude and latitude to provide the valid location proof to requester.

# C. Proving Your Location without Giving Up Your Privacy

A location proof is an electronic form of article that certifies someone's bearing at a definite location at particular time [10]. A retroactive location proof is used to currently interact with a target application. proactive location proof is collected for the future purpose, without having a goal application in mind. Cryptographic hashes and digital signatures are used for user anonymity. Location proof request is sent to the AP by the user, with granularity. If AP receives the request it generates nonce for itself and then sends the nonce to the user. Then user concatenates the received nonce with user nonce and signs them. At last AP creates a location proof which is enclosed by group signature which is finally send to user. The issuer gets the hash of the signature and its nonce. The hash in combination with the user's nonce serves for two purposes: First, they behave as a commitment by the user to her signature. Finally, it hides the user's signature and therefore his identity from the proof issuer. A dishonest user may collude

for with a malicious intruder. This is to launch a replay attack to acquire location proofs for a place where the dishonest user is no longer located. The task of the malicious intruder is no acquire further location proofs from the same proof issuer on behalf of the dishonest user, who is moved away. It's impossible for malicious intruder to succeed, that the proof issuer is going to re-use nonce. However, or since each nonce is used only once, the malicious intruder cannot thrive.

#### **DEMERITS::**

• It is impossible to sign the fresh nonce by the malicious intruder but he may try to set up a communication channel through which he can send a fresh nonce to the remote dishonest user to include his signature with the nonce in real time by wormhole attack.

### D. Customizable K-Anonymity Model

Personality identifiable information is being openly unknown as anonymity. Customizability means user can flexibly control the tradeoff between privacy protection and accuracy for LBS [13]. Customizable k-anonymity model protecting privacy of location data works by, thrashing the location of a user within a cluster of k members. A third party is employed to gather the user's locations and classify them in some k-size sets. Then one of the members of the location set is chosen as the representative location of all those users. k-anonymity approach utilizes a trusted third party as an anonymizer, where the implementation could be based on a centralized or distributed architecture. The vital challenges in k-anonymity are to come across k-1 other users to keep the anonymity. Two other evils with k-anonymity approach



are the reduction of accuracy and they require for a trusted third party.

### 7. CONCLUSION

The once immobile nature of human factor has dramatically changed during the past two decades. The simplicity of work also changed and the work became complicated now a days due to the infusion of technology and tools of technology. Each and every domain of HRM is becoming complicated and sophisticated by improved versions of Information Technology. The IT and ITes have seen mammoth changes during the recent past. The same is replicated in the HRM also. There is no doubt that Information Technology has its impact on HRM but there is other side of the coin also. The work cultures, the loyalty among employees have There has been a been slowly eroded. problem of attrition in every field, though not the attrition from domestic industry to industries abroad. Due to the IT application in every field of business there has been large scale exodus of employees from rural to urban areas. Eventually it may be concluded that the Information Technology has its impact both positively and negatively in the field of Human Resource Management.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. Adewoye, J.O. and Obasan. Kehinde, A. (2012). The impact of Information Technology (IT) on Human Resource Management (HRM): Emprical evidence from Nigeria Banking sector case study of selected Banks from Lagos state and Oyo state in southwest Nigeria. European Journal of Business and Management. Vol 4, No.6,2012. (www.iiste.org).
- [2]. Ellen A. Ensher, Troy R. Nielson, and Elisa Grant-Vallone (2002). Tales

from the Hiring Line: Effects of the Internet and Technology on HR processes. Organizational Dynamics, Vol.31, No.3, pp. 224-244, 2002. (www.organizational-dynamics.com).

- [3].John Bernardin, (2007). H. Human Resource Management - McGraw Hill, fourth Edition 2007. (http://nraomir.blogspot.com/search/label/Human).
- [4].Kamran Nazari, Saber sharifi, Hameidreza hatamikhi bari, and Behrooz sohrabi (2012). The Role of Information Technology in Human Resource Management Function. Journal of Basic and Applied scientific Research 2012, Text Road Publication.
- [5]. Peter Baloh and Peter Trkman (2003). Influence of Internet and Information Technology on work and Human Resource Management. Information science-June 2003.
- [6]. Sharyn, D. Gardner, David, P. Lepak, and Kathryn M. Bartol (2003). Virtual HR: The impact of information technology on the human resource professional. Journal of vocational behavior. 63 (2003) 159-179.
- [7]. Usman sadiq, Ahmad Fareed khan, Khurram Ikhlaq, and Bahaudin G. Mujtaba (2012). The impact of information systems on the performance of Human Resource Department. Journal of Business studies Quarterly 2012, Vol.3, No.4 pp 77-91. (jbsq.org).
- [8]. Vivek, M. Patil (2009). Information Technology in veterinary Science. New India publishing Agency, New Delhi.
- [9].http://www.morisonmenon.com/hr-and-technology.php